

**Before the  
Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554**

In the Matter of	)	
	)	
Amendment of the Amateur Service	)	
Rules Governing Qualifying	)	WT Docket No. 12-283
Examination Systems and Other	)	
Matters	)	
	)	
Amendment of Part 97 of the	)	
Commission's Amateur Service Rules	)	RM-11629
to Give Permanent Credit for	)	
Examination Elements Passed	)	
	)	
Amendment of Part 97 of the	)	
Commission's Rules to Facilitate Use	)	
in the Amateur Radio Service of	)	RM-11625
Single Slot Time Division Multiple	)	
Access Telephony and Data Emissions	)	
	)	
Request for Temporary Waiver	)	
	)	
Amendment of the Amateur Service	)	
Rules Governing Vanity and Club	)	WT Docket No. 09-209
Station Call Signs	)	
	)	
	)	
	)	
	)	
By W. Lee McVey	)	
W6EM	)	
PG-12-19879	)	
	)	
	)	
To: The Chief, Wireless	)	
Telecommunications Bureau	)	
	)	
_____	)	

## **ADDENDUM TO COMMENTS**

### **Introduction**

A1. In my Comments to the above captioned proceedings, I addressed the possible replacement of vanity fees with a one-time payment, should the Commission choose to adopt a lifetime amateur license grant.<sup>1</sup> I did not provide an example of how an equivalent fee could be calculated or structured to equivalently replace the series of successive, 10-year renewal collections for vanity license holders over a presumed lifetime.

A2. Following is an example for the Commission's consideration, which bases a one-time fee upon the present worth of the initial grant fee and four successive, ten-year renewals. Something selected in light of a 50 year span of licensure as a vanity holder. Since most vanity callsign holders do not request a vanity call on initial licensure, this assumption should approximate a licensure lifetime of 55 to 60 years. Since only the Commission has data to calculate the average vanity licensee lifetime, the proposed method could be modified to fit available data.

---

<sup>1</sup> McVey Comments at 3.

## Methodology

A3. The present worth of a future payment is obtained by dividing the future payment by the compound amount factor to bring it back to its present worth or value. Assuming a cost of money of four percent, the compound amount factor for “n” annual periods would be  $(1.04)^n$ . Where n is 0, 10, 20, 30, and 40, representing the initial payment of fees at vanity license issuance, then with ten, twenty, thirty and forty year renewals. The equation would simply be  $P(n) = R(n)/(1.04)^n$ . Where P(n) would be the present worth of a future payment R(n).

A4. Also, vanity fees have not escalated significantly since inception, but an assumption could be made for each payment amount, R(n), to escalate at a rate of, say, 33% for each successive 10-year renewal. If R(0) were to be assumed to be \$15 today, then it would follow that R(1) would be approximately \$20, R(2) \$27, R(3) \$36, R(4) \$48, and so on.

A5. Combining the initial and successive payments amounts to a series solution.  $P_{total} = \sum R(n)/(1.04)^n$  for n = 0, 10, 20, 30, and 40. Solving this expression for the initial fee and four successive renewals at escalated amounts,  $P_{total} = \$15 + \$13.51 + \$12.32 + \$11.10 + \$10.00$ . Using this approach for the entire example period,  $P_{total}$  would equal \$61.93.

A6. I believe the above offers a straightforward solution to the cost of a lifetime vanity license grant. In the past it has not been uncommon for vanity licensees to request several vanity grants. A new fee could be assessed for any changes once a lifetime vanity grant is issued.

Respectfully Submitted,



W. Lee McVey  
3 Squires Glenn Lane  
Leeds, AL 35094-4564  
December 23, 2012

W6EM  
PG-12-19879